

- did the group verify the settings left by the previous group?
- is it clear they understand the concept of accidental vs. real coincidences?
 - do they have data to back up their claims/observations
- did they note: Singles rates
Coincidences rate
 - did they extrapolate their findings to one week of running?
- Is their method for determining their settings a good one / quantitative.
 - Is it based on low statistics? { if yes, they do well?
- Were they willing to dig into the cables & so on to understand what's happening?
- did the group write things down?